

Neutrophil to Lymphocyte Ratio as a Predictor of Diabetic Retinopathy Incidence in a Scottish population

Incidence in a Scottish population Aravind Lathika Rajendrakumar¹, Simona M Hapca¹, Yu Huang¹, Anand Thakarakkattil Narayanan Nair¹, Mehul Kumar Chourasia¹, Shun-Yuen Kwan¹, Moneeza K Siddiqui¹, Prathiba Vijayaraghavan², Graham Leese³, Alex S F Doney¹, Viswanathan Mohan², Colin N A Palmer¹

1. Division of Population Health and Genomics, Ninewells Hospital, University of Dundee, Dundee, UK

2. Madras Diabetes Research Foundation, Gopalapuram, Chennai, India

40

3. Department of Medicine, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, University of Dundee, UK

Introduction

Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is hypothesized to be a result of inflammatory reaction due to diabetes. The neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) is a cost-effective marker of inflammation which is routinely available as a part of clinical investigations.

Figure 2. Pairwise comparison of NLR with different events at 10 years

Kruskal-Wallis, p < 2.2e-16	Parameter	Deaths	DR	No DR
	NLR; mean(sd)	3.23(2.97)	2.52(2.61)	2.26(1.60)

Figure 5. Adjusted effect plot for association of NLR with DR Incidence

2.7

Previous studies have reported an association of NLR with a variety of pathological conditions including cancers, mortality, and diabetes.

Methods

Diabetes retinal screening data from Tayside and Fife region in Scotland was used. Complete case analysis (n=24,433) with a 10 years follow up period was performed to predict Diabetic Retinopathy (DR) incidence. DR was defined by the time to first diagnosis of R1 or above grade under the Scottish diabetic retinopathy grading scheme.

Study duration includes time from diagnosis date of diabetes (T0) to the last follow-up visit or censoring point (T1) within the ten-year follow-up. Censoring includes date of DR, death or end of follow-up. All participants who already had retinopathy at diagnosis were excluded from the analysis. NLR and other clinical covariates closest to the time of diagnosis of diabetes were included in the analysis. NLR readings were not considered if measured after diagnosis of cancer or NLR readings <=31 days for an admission with infectious disease.



Figure 3. Predicted Cumulative curve for the probability of DR incidence in the study population





The Hazard of developing retinopathy almost tripled for participants with very high levels of Neutrophil-Lymphocyte Ratio.

 Table 1. Fine and Gray Model for association of NLR with DR

 Incidence

Parameter	SHR	95% CI	P value
Age x NLR	0.99	0.99- 0.99	<0.01**
Diabetic drug	1.06	1.01- 1.11	< 0.05**
DBP	1.00	1.00- 1.00	< 0.05*
HbA _{1c} x NLR	0.97	0.95- 0.99	< 0.05*
Sex(M)	1.05	1.01-1.10	< 0.05*
Non-HDL	0.90	0.83- 0.98	< 0.05*
HbA1c	1.10	1.06- 1.13	<0.001***
SBP	1.00	1.00- 1.00	< 0.001***
BMI	0.99	0.98- 0.99	< 0.001***
NLR (log)	1.44	1.14- 1.80	<0.01**

Death prevents observation of retinopathy and hence it is considered a competing risk for the analysis of DR. Association between NLR and time to DR was investigated by competing risks analysis using both cause-specific and Fine and Gray model approaches, adjusted for age, sex, blood pressure, HbA_{1c}, Non-HDL, BMI and anti-diabetic drugs at diagnosis. NLR and non-HDL-c were log-transformed Calibration plot was used to assess the goodness of fit for both the models.

Results

There was no correlation between Neutrophils and Lymphocytes (r = 0.05, p=<0.001). Neutrophil count and NLR was closely related in hierarchical cluster (Figure1). Kruskal–Wallis Test showed a significant difference in NLR distributions among the three outcome groups (those that develop DR, those that died and those with no DR and still alive at the end of follow-up) in (Figure2).

At the end of 10 years, there were 9018 DR events and 3013 deaths. Probability of DR incidence was 50% at the end of 10 years(Figure3).

NLR was associated with incident DR in both causespecific (HR 1.32;1.08- 1.62) and Fine and Gray models (HR=1.44,1.14- 1.80) (Fig 4 and Table 1).

Interactions between HbA_{1c} and NLR was significant in the cause-specific model (HR=0.98, 0.95- 1.00) while in the Fine-Gray model both HbA_{1c} and NLR interaction and Age and NLR were significant (HR=0.97,0.95- 0.99 for HbA_{1c} and HR=0.99,0.99-0.99 for Age interaction respectively).

Figure 4. Forest Plot of Cause-Specific Hazards Model for association of NLR with DR Incidence

	T						
	Variable		N	Haza	ard ratio		р
	Age		24433			1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	0.015
	Diabetic_Drug	No	13228			Reference	
		Yes	11205		•	1.09 (1.04, 1.15)	<0.001
	DBP		24433			1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	0.036
	HbA1cxNLR		24433			0.98 (0.95, 1.00)	0.043
	Sex	F	10901			Reference	
		М	13532		•	1.07 (1.03, 1.12)	0.002
	Non_HDL		24433	⊦∎→		0.86 (0.79, 0.94)	<0.001
	HbA1c		24433		•	1.10 (1.07, 1.14)	<0.001
5	SBP		24433			1.00 (1.00, 1.01)	<0.001
	вмі		24433		I	0.99 (0.99, 0.99)	<0.001
	NLR		24433			1.32 (1.08, 1.62)	0.007
				0.8 1	12 14 16		

Figure 6. Calibration plot- goodness of fit



Conclusions

- NLR has a great potential to predict DR incidence in the Scottish population. HbA_{1c} and Age attenuated the effect of NLR for DR incidence at their higher values.
- Both main and interaction terms for NLR are significant in the model suggesting insights into pathological

Figure 1.Correlation heatmap of NLR with other covariates



FUNDED BY	
NIHR	National Institute for Health Research





mechanisms involved in DR. Modelling Interaction of NLR with other covariates is helpful to understand the real-world relationship of variables.

The calibration plot suggests that Fine and Gray model has more predictive accuracy than Cause specific Model in predicting incidence of DR and both models overestimate DR incidence.

Acknowledgements

The research was commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research using Official Development Assistance (ODA) funding [INSPIRED 16/136/102].



Disclaimer: 'The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.'